arXiv:1403.3770v2 [math.AG] 6 Aug 2014

THE PRIME DIVISORS OF THE PERIOD AND INDEX OF A BRAUER CLASS

BENJAMIN ANTIEAU AND BEN WILLIAMS

ABSTRACT. We show that in locally-ringed connected topoi the primes dividing the period and index of a Brauer class coincide. The result applies in particular to Brauer classes on connected schemes, algebraic stacks, topological spaces and to the projective representation theory of profinite groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

If k is a field, then the Brauer group, $\mathrm{Br}(k)$, is the group of equivalence classes of central simple k–algebras modulo Morita equivalence. A theorem of Wedderburn's states that every central simple k–algebra A is isomorphic to a matrix algebra $\mathrm{Mat}_n(D)$, where D is a finite-dimensional central k–division algebra. Since the rings D and $\mathrm{Mat}_n(D)$ are Morita equivalent, the Brauer group is identified with the set of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional k–division-algebras. The Brauer group was introduced by Brauer in the 1930s, and has been studied extensively since.

For a class $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(k)$, one defines the period $\mathrm{per}(\alpha)$ to be its order as a group element. If A is a central simple k–algebra, write $\alpha = [A]$ for the associated class in the Brauer group; the integer $\mathrm{per}(\alpha)$ is the smallest positive integer such that $A^{\otimes_k \mathrm{per}(\alpha)} \cong \mathrm{Mat}_n(k)$ for some integer n. The index, $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha)$, is the greatest common divisor of the degrees—the square-roots of the dimensions over k—of the central simple algebras in the class α.

It is not hard to show that $\mathrm{per}(\alpha) | \mathrm{ind}(\alpha)$. Three additional facts about $\mathrm{per}(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha)$ concern us in this paper, all of which are classical and can be found in [9]:

(1) $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha)$ is the degree of the lowest-dimensional element of α, namely the unique division algebra D with $[D] = \alpha$;
(2) one may use Galois splitting fields and Sylow subgroups of Galois groups to prove that $\mathrm{per}(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha)$ have the same prime divisors;
(3) as a consequence, there exists a central simple algebra A, specifically the unique division algebra D in the class α, with class α such that $\deg(A)$ has the same prime divisors as $\mathrm{per}(\alpha)$.

Work of Azumaya [7] and then of Auslander and Goldman [6] established the notion of an *Azumaya algebra* over a commutative ring R, and defined $\mathrm{Br}(R)$ as a group of equivalence classes of Azumaya algebras, generalizing the Brauer group of a field. These Azumaya algebras are flat families of central simple algebras. The idea was extended by Grothendieck [11] to the case of a locally-ringed topos, $(\mathbf{X}, R)$, although the emphasis in that work was on the specific case where the topos is $\tilde{X}_{\text{ét}}$, the étale topos of a scheme X, and where the local ring is $\mathcal{O}_X$, the structure sheaf of X.

In the generality of a locally ringed topos, it is possible to define the period and the index of a class $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{X}, R)$, although one must allow for pathologies if $\mathbf{X}$ is badly disconnected. Unless otherwise stated, we assume $\mathbf{X}$ is connected. We define $\mathrm{per}(\alpha)$ as the order of α, which is finite under this assumption, and we may define

$$\mathrm{ind}(\alpha) = \gcd\{\deg(A) : [A] = \alpha\}.$$

We wish to determine whether the statement $\mathrm{per}(\alpha) | \mathrm{ind}(\alpha)$ and the analogues of (1)–(3) above hold in general.

Of these, $\mathrm{per}(\alpha) | \mathrm{ind}(\alpha)$ is generally seen to be true, whereas we have already proved in [1] that (1) does not always hold.

Property (3) was known to hold in the following situations: the classical case of the étale sites of fields, and the étale sites of regular noetherian 2–dimensional schemes, [6], and the étale sites of schemes X that are unions of two affine schemes along an affine intersection, where it was deduced by [8, Chapter II]. To our knowledge, no other results along the lines of (3) were known for schemes.

If property (3) obtains, then property (2) must obtain as well. By [2, Theorem 3.1], (2) holds for finite CW complexes. The proof employs the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem and twisted topological K–theory, and is peculiar to the case of CW complexes. If X is a regular noetherian scheme, then we showed in [3, Proposition 6.5] that the period and index have the same prime divisors. This time, the proof was by using the inclusion $\mathrm{Br}(X) \subseteq \mathrm{Br}(K)$, where K is the field of fractions of X, and an argument of Saltman to show that the index of $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(X)$ is the same when computed over X or over K.

Azumaya algebras in locally ringed topoi also generalize the special case of projective representations of finite groups. Given a finite group, G, we may form the topos $\mathbf{B}G$ of discrete G–sets, and endow it with a local ring R. When $R = \mathbb{C}$, the Brauer group of the locally ringed topos $\mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{B}G, R)$ is the Schur multiplier $H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ of G. In this setting, (1) is known not to hold. Higgs communicated to us that $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$ has Brauer group $\mathbb{Z}/2$, where the non-zero class, α, is represented by irreducible projective representations of degrees $4, 4, 6, 6, 8$, so that $\mathrm{per}(\alpha) = \mathrm{ind}(\alpha) = 2$, but there is no degree-2 Azumaya algebra with class α. On the other hand, (2) is known to hold for all finite G, and (3) is known to hold when G is a finite p–group, [12].

In this paper, we establish (3), and therefore (2), under mild hypotheses on the topos. This solves Problem 1.8 of [2], where to our knowledge the question of whether (2) holds in full generality was first posed.

The paper therefore provides, in the first place, a unified proof of a statement that had previously been known only by different arguments in different contexts. In the second place, it covers the cases of the étale site on singular or non-noetherian schemes and the case of infinite CW complexes. In the third, it strengthens the result of Saltman for regular noetherian schemes by producing an Azumaya algebra the degree of which is divisible only by primes dividing the period.

The main theorem, Theorem 6, is not stated in maximum generality; it holds for instance if the hypothesis that $\mathbf{X}$ be connected is weakened to the hypothesis that $\pi_0(\mathbf{X})$ be compact. In [8], a more general definition of Azumaya algebra than that of [11] is given, appertaining to the case of a ringed topos. The two definitions coincide in the cases of étale sites of schemes and in the case of CW complexes locally ringed by the sheaf of continuous complex-valued functions. We do not explore an expansion of Theorem 6 to the generality of the Azumaya algebras of [8].

We would like to thank the referee, whose advice greatly improved the presentation.

2. Azumaya Algebras in Grothendieck Topoi

In this section and the next, we present the theories of Azumaya algebras and of PGL_n–bundles in a locally-ringed connected Grothendieck topos, and show that they are equivalent. Our reference for the theory of topoi is [4], and we adopt the theory of universes of [4, Exposé I, Appendice]. Chapter 0 of [10] is an expedited guide to the set- and topos-theoretic preliminaries. In general, the topoi we encounter shall all have enough points, although this is inessential to the argument. The exposition is greatly simplified if we assume $\mathbf{X}$ is connected, which is also the most applicable case, so all topoi we consider are assumed connected.

There is an abelian category of R–modules, in which one may form free- and locally-free-modules, tensor products, and homomorphism objects. There is a notion of local ring object, see [4, Exposé IV, Exercice 13.9] or [11, Section 2] and [13, Chapter VIII]. In the presence of enough points of the topos, a ring object is a local ring object if and only if p^*R is either local or empty at all points. Given a ring object R, assumed throughout to be unital and associative, we may form the group $R^\times$ of units in R.

We recall from [11] that an *Azumaya algebra*, $\mathcal{A}$, on $(\mathbf{X}, R)$ is an R-algebra in $\mathbf{X}$ which locally is isomorphic to an algebra of the form $\mathrm{Mat}_n(R)$; the integer n is called the *degree* of $\mathcal{A}$. The tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes_R \mathcal{A}'$ is an Azumaya R-algebra formed by means of the Kronecker product $\mathrm{Mat}_n(R) \otimes \mathrm{Mat}_m(R)$, applied locally.

The *Brauer group* $\mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{X}, R)$ of $(\mathbf{X}, R)$ is the set of Azumaya algebras under the equivalence relation that says $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{A}'$ if there exist locally free R–modules E and E' of finite rank such that

$$\mathcal{A} \otimes_R \mathrm{End}_R(E) \cong \mathcal{A}' \otimes_R \mathrm{End}_R(E').$$

The Brauer group is indeed a group under tensor product, with the inverse of $\mathcal{A}$ being given by the opposite algebra.

If V is a free R–module then the exterior power $V^{\wedge d}$ may be defined in the evident way. In a ringed topos, it is possible to define the group objects GL_n, $\mathbb{G}_m \cong GL_1$, SL_n, $PGL_n \cong GL_n/\mathbb{G}_m$.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is an Azumaya algebra, then it is possible to form $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ as a group in $\mathbf{X}$; locally this group is isomorphic to a group of the form $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Mat}_n(R))$. The conjugation action of GL_n on Mat_n means that there is a homomorphism $\phi : PGL_n \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Mat}_n(R))$.

The following proposition is asserted in [11].

Proposition 1. *If $(\mathbf{X}, R)$ is a locally-ringed topos, then $\phi : PGL_n \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Mat}_n(R))$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We refer to [13, Chapter VIII, Theorem 3], which says that there is a universal locally ringed topos. It is $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{O})$, the ringed topos associated to the Zariski site on $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{Z}$. Given any locally-ringed topos $(\mathbf{X}, R)$, there is a geometric morphism $r : \mathbf{X} \to \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{Z}$ such that $r^*\mathcal{O} \cong R$. Since r^* preserves finite limits and all colimits, it follows that $R^n \cong (r^*\mathcal{O})^n$, that $\mathrm{End}(R^n) \cong r^*\,\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{O})$, that $PGL_n(R) \cong r^*\,PGL_n(\mathcal{O})$, that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{End}(R^n)) \cong r^*\,\mathrm{Aut}\,(\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{O}^n))$, and all these isomorphisms are compatible with the various actions of these objects on themselves and each other.

It suffices, therefore, to prove the proposition in the case of $(\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{O})$. Since every projective $\mathbb{Z}$–module is free, the result follows from Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 5.1 of [6], the Skolem-Noether theorem. □

There exist cohomology functors $H^i(G)$ in the topos $\mathbf{X}$, defined for $i = 0, 1$ in the case of a non-abelian group G, but for all $i \geq 0$ in the case of an abelian group A (see [5, Exposé V] and [10]). The short exact sequence of groups $1 \to \mathbb{G}_m \to GL_n \to PGL_n \to 1$ yields a portion of an exact sequence in nonabelian cohomology

$$H^1(GL_n) \to H^1(PGL_n) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(\mathbb{G}_m). \tag{1}$$

The map $H^1(GL_n) \to H^1(PGL_n)$ takes a locally free R–module E of rank n to the PGL_n–torsor $\mathrm{End}_R(E)$. We have

$$\delta(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}') = \delta(\mathcal{A}) + \delta(\mathcal{A}').$$

The following proposition holds in general, the proof being the same is in the case of the étale topos of a scheme. It is also implicit in the discussion of [10, Chapitre V.4.4–5].

Proposition 2. *If $(\mathbf{X}, R)$ is a connected, nonempty, locally-ringed topos, then $\mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{X}, R)$ can be identified with the image of the map*

$$\coprod_{n=1}^{\infty} H^1(PGL_n) \to H^2(\mathbb{G}_m).$$

3. Period & Index

Henceforth we assume our topos locally-ringed and connected.

Suppose α is an element of $\mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{X}, R) \subset H^2(\mathbb{G}_m)$. We define the *period* of α to be the order of α as a group element in $H^2(\mathbb{G}_m)$, assuming it is finite, and we define the *index* of α to be the greatest common divisor of all integers n such that α is in the image of $H^1(PGL_n) \to H^2(\mathbb{G}_m)$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an element in $H^1(PGL_n)$, then we say that the *degree* of $\mathcal{A}$ is n, and we abuse terminology in saying that the period and index of $\mathcal{A}$ are simply the period and index of the image of $\mathcal{A}$ under the map

$$H^1(PGL_n) \to \mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{X}, R).$$

Writing α for this image, we say $\mathcal{A}$ *represents* α.

Theorem 3. *If $(\mathbf{X}, R)$ is a ringed topos and if $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{X}, R)$ is an element in the Brauer group represented by $\mathcal{A}$, then $\mathrm{per}(\alpha)$ divides the degree of $\mathcal{A}$. Consequently, $\mathrm{per}(\alpha) | \mathrm{ind}(\alpha)$, and $\mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{X}, R)$ is torsion.*

The proof is the same as in the case of the étale site of a scheme, where it is standard. For a proof in the language of gerbes, see [10, Chapitre V.4.6].

Proposition 4. *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ in $H^1(PGL_n)$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ in $H^1(PGL_m)$ each represent the same element, α, in $\mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{X}, R)$. There exists an element $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}'$ in $H^1(PGL_{n+m})$ representing α.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ in $H^1(PGL_n)$ and $\mathcal{B}$ in $H^1(PGL_m)$ represent α and β in $Br(\mathbf{X}, R)$, respectively. There is an isomorphism $H^1(PGL_n \times PGL_m) \to H^1(PGL_n) \times H^1(PGL_m)$, [10]. The data of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ therefore give an element $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ in $H^1(PGL_n \times PGL_m)$.

We may include $\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\Delta} GL_n \times GL_m$ as the subgroup of scalar matrices, and we define $PGL_{n,m}$ as the quotient. We also write $\Delta : \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ for the diagonal inclusion. There is a short exact sequence of group objects

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{q} \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow 1$$

where the map $q : \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m$ is that given by $(\lambda, \lambda') \mapsto \lambda/\lambda'$; this map is split, and consequently an epimorphism.

The rows and first two columns of the following diagram are short exact sequences of groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & GL_n \times GL_m & \longrightarrow & PGL_{n,m} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & 1 & \longrightarrow & PGL_n \times PGL_n & = & PGL_n \times PGL_m & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1. & &
\end{array}$$

By the nine-lemma, the third column is also exact. We conclude that the obstruction to lifting $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ from $H^1(PGL_n \times PGL_m)$ to $H^1(PGL_{n,m})$ is the class $\alpha - \beta$ in $H^2(\mathbb{G}_m)$.

If we take $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$, both of which represent $\alpha \in Br(\mathbf{X}, R)$, then this obstruction vanishes, and we may define $\mathcal{A}''$ to be a lift of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}'$ to $H^1(PGL_{n,m})$.

There is a 'direct-summation' map $\sigma : GL_n \times GL_m \to GL_{n+m}$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & GL_n \times GL_m & \longrightarrow & PGL_{n,m} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \| & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & GL_{n+m} & \longrightarrow & PGL_{n+m} & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}$$

commutes, from which we deduce that $\mathcal{A}''$ yields an element $\mathcal{A} \boxplus \mathcal{A}'$ in $H^1(PGL_{n+m})$. This element represents α, since $\mathcal{A}''$ does. □

We write Supp n for the set of prime numbers dividing an integer n.

Lemma 5. *Let m and n be positive integers, with $m \mid n$. Then there exists a set of integers $\{q_1, \ldots, q_\ell\}$ with $1 \le q_i < n$ and $(q_i, m) = 1$ for all i and such that*

$$\text{Supp gcd} \left\{ \binom{n}{q_1}, \ldots, \binom{n}{q_\ell} \right\} = \text{Supp } m. \tag{2}$$

If $\{q_1, \ldots, q_\ell\}$ is a set meeting the conditions of the lemma, and if $q_{\ell+1}$ is some number such that $1 \le q_{\ell+1} < n$ and $(q_{\ell+1}, m) = 1$, then it follows from the proof that $\{q_1, \ldots, q_\ell, q_{\ell+1}\}$ also meets the conditions of the lemma. The lemma could therefore be stated as saying that the maximal set $\{q : 1 \le q < n, (q, m) = 1\}$ satisfies (2).

Proof. Suppose a and b are two positive integers and p is a prime. Then the value of $\binom{a}{b}$ in $\mathbb{Z}/(p\mathbb{Z})$ is the coefficient of x^b in the expansion of $(1+x)^a$ over that ring. If $a = cp^s$ for some integer s, then

$$(1+x)^a = \left((1+x)^{p^s}\right)^c \equiv (1+x^{p^s})^c \pmod{p}$$

from which we deduce that $\binom{a}{b} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ unless p^s divides b as well, in which case $\binom{a}{b} \equiv \binom{c}{b/p^s} \pmod{p}$.

Let $\{p_1, \ldots, p_\ell\}$ denote the set of primes dividing n but not dividing m. Let s_i denote the exponent of the largest power of p_i dividing n. The binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{p_i^{s_i}} \equiv \binom{n/p_i^{s_i}}{1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, while, for any prime q dividing m, we have $\binom{n}{p_i^{s_i}} \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. The set $\{1, p_1^{s_1}, \ldots, p_\ell^{s_\ell}\}$ therefore satisfies the assertions of the lemma. □

Now we come to our main theorem, where we show that (3) from the introduction, and hence (2), holds for a broad class of locally ringed topoi.

Theorem 6. *Let (X, R) be a locally-ringed connected topos and let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(X, R)$. There exists a representative $\mathcal{A}$ of α such that the prime numbers dividing $\mathrm{per}(\alpha)$ and $\deg(\mathcal{A})$ coincide.*

Proof. Write m for the period of α, which is finite and divides $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha)$ by Theorem 3. By definition of the Brauer group, there exists some positive integer n and some $\mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{PGL}_n)$ such that $\mathcal{B}$ represents α. By Theorem 3, we know that $m|n$.

Let V denote the standard free R–module of rank n. Let $\{q_1, \ldots, q_\ell\}$ be a set of integers $1 \leq q_i < n$ with the properties that $(q_i, m) = 1$ for all i, and

$$\mathrm{Supp}\,\gcd\left\{\binom{n}{q_1}, \ldots, \binom{n}{q_\ell}\right\} = \mathrm{Supp}\, m.$$

For each i, let r_i be a positive integer such that $q_i r_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. For each i between 1 and ℓ, define

$$W_i = \left(V^{\wedge q_i}\right)^{\otimes r_i}.$$

The dimension of W_i is

$$s_i = \binom{n}{q_i}^{r_i}$$

and in particular

$$\mathrm{Supp}\,\gcd\{s_1, \ldots, s_\ell\} = \mathrm{Supp}\, m.$$

The formation of W_i from V means that there is a diagonal homomorphism from $\mathrm{GL}(V) = \mathrm{GL}_n$ to $\mathrm{GL}(W_i) = \mathrm{GL}_{s_i}$, and this fits in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{PGL}_n & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & {\scriptstyle z\mapsto z^{q_i r_i}}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}_{s_i} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{PGL}_{s_i} & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

In particular, there is an induced map $f_i : \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{PGL}_n) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{PGL}_{s_i})$ with the property that $f_i(\mathcal{B})$ represents $q_i r_i \alpha = \alpha$ in $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathbb{G}_m)$.

For any sufficiently large integer g divisible by $\gcd\{s_1, \ldots, s_\ell\}$ we can find nonnegative integers $\{c_1', \ldots, c_\ell'\}$ such that

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i' s_i.$$

In particular, we can find some sufficiently large integer N such that $\mathrm{Supp}\, N = \mathrm{Supp}\, m$ and

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i s_i$$

where the c_i are nonnegative integers.

The elements $f_i(\mathcal{B})$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{PGL}_{s_i})$ all represent α, and by the construction of Proposition 4, we can form

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{c_i} f_i(\mathcal{B}) \right),$$

of degree $\deg(\mathcal{A}) = N$, which represents α as well. It lies in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{PGL}_N)$. Since $\mathrm{Supp}\, N = \mathrm{Supp}\, m$, the theorem is proved. □

We note that the bound on $\deg(\mathcal{A})$ implicit in the proof does not depend on the topos, and is probably wildly inefficient in many interesting cases. For instance, in the case of an element α of period 3, represented by a class $\mathcal{A}$ of degree 60, we must eliminate the primes 2 and 5. We may take as our set $\{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4\} = \{1, 4, 55, 58\}$, all of which are congruent to 1 modulo 3, which means that we may take $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = r_4 = 1$. Setting

$$c_1 = 137400, \quad c_2 = 1, \quad c_3 = 1, \quad c_4 = 88,$$

and using the identity

$$N = 3^{15} = 137400\binom{60}{1} + \binom{60}{4} + \binom{60}{55} + 88\binom{60}{58},$$

we deduce that an element of $\mathrm{Br}(X, R)$ having period 3 which is represented by $\mathcal{B}$ of degree 60 may be represented by an Azumaya algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of degree $3^{15} = 14,348,907$.

REFERENCES

[1] Benjamin Antieau and Ben Williams, *Unramified division algebras do not always contain azumaya maximal orders*, Inventiones mathematicae (2013), 1–10, available at `http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00222-013-0479-7`.

[2] ———, *The period-index problem for twisted topological* K*-theory*, Geometry & Topology **18** (2014), 1115–1148.

[3] ———, *Topology and purity for torsors*, ArXiv e-prints (2013), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.5273`.

[4] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, and J. L. Verdier (eds.), *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. tome 1: Théorie des topos*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 269, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de N. Bourbaki, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat.

[5] ——— (ed.), *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. tome 2*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 270, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de N. Bourbaki, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat.

[6] Maurice Auslander and Oscar Goldman, *The Brauer Group of a Commutative Ring*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **97** (1960), 367–409.

[7] Gorô Azumaya, *On maximally central algebras*, Nagoya Mathematical Journal **2** (1951), 119–150.

[8] Ofer Gabber, *Some theorems on azumaya algebras*, Groupe de Brauer (Sem., les Plans-sur-Bex, 1980), 1981, pp. 129–209.

[9] Philippe Gille and Tamás Szamuely, *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 101, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[10] Jean Giraud, *Cohomologie non abélienne*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 179.

[11] Alexander Grothendieck, *Le groupe de brauer. i. algèbres d'Azumaya et interprétations diverses*, Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, 1968, pp. 46–66.

[12] R. J. Higgs, *On the degrees of projective representations*, Glasgow Mathematical Journal **30** (May 1988), no. 2, 133–135.

[13] Saunders Mac Lane and Ieke Moerdijk, *Sheaves in geometry and logic: a first introduction to topos theory*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.